## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/612,801              | 07/02/2003                    | Jin-Man Im           | 678-988 (P10426)        | 5353             |  |
| 28249                   | 7590 09/13/2006               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP |                               |                      | HAROON, ADEEL           |                  |  |
| UNIONDALE               | OVINGTON BLVD.<br>E. NY 11553 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                         | •                             | •                    | 2618                    | -                |  |
|                         |                               | ·                    | DATE MAILED: 09/13/2000 | 5                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/612,801      | IM, JIN-MAN  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Adeel Haroon    | 2618         |  |

|   | 71400111410011  |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add                                      | iress  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment,<br>dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i   | affidavit, or other evide<br>n compliance with 37 C     | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)               |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date  | e of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai   | ling date of the final reject                           | ion.   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | 06.07(f).   |   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amou<br>shortened statutory period for reply o<br>r than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off | riate extension fee<br>îce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),  | to avoid dismissal of the                               |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a bri   | ef will not be entered b                                | acause                                       |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see N  |   | ecause                                       |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   |   | reducing or simplifying                                 | the issues for                               |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally   | rejected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | Compliant Amendment                                     | (PTOL-324).                                  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | ):  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   |   |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | will be entered and an                                  | explanation of                               |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | ut before or on the date of filing o  | Notice of Appeal will b                                 | at ha antarad                                |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap<br>y and was not earlier presented.   | peal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(        | nils to provide a (1).                       |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | on of the status of the claims after  | r entry is below or attac                               | hed.   |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application   | n in condition for allowa                               | ince because:                                |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape  | r No(s)   |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   | $\sim$  |  |
|   | C   | EDWARD F. URBAN   |  |
|   | At the sec  | EDWARD F. URBAN   |  |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation of "side portion" in claim 14 requires further consideration and search.